

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,009	08/31/2001	Daniel J. Reed	00-1048	9362	
75	90 07/28/2003				
Kaardal & Ass	•		EXAMINER		
Attn: Ivar M. Ka Suite 250			WEEKS, GLORIA R		
3500 South First Ave. Circle Sioux Fall, SD 57105-5802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAIL CD: 07/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ⁰			1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Λ 1A				
Advisory Action	09/944,009	REED, DANIEL J.	() AI				
The state of the s	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gloria R Weeks	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment wi	lication. A proper rep hich places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	*						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The distribution of the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period o	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set i	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. S 1.136(a) and the appropriate extended the fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	period set forth in I of the appeal.					
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 103(a) rejections.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		nsidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :						
Claim(s) allowed: 8-17 and 20-25.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4 and 7.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>04 Marc</u>	(2003) is a) \boxtimes approved or b))□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10.⊠ Other:		Kindler	öda				
		Rinaldi I. F Supervisory Pater Group 37	nt Examiner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

(

Application No.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 17, 20-23 and 25 stand rejected as cited in the Final Rejection (Paper No. 6). Applicant has argued that Doberne fails to disclose a guide bushing having a substantially uniform channel diameter. Examiner maintains prior argument of Doberne teaching a uniform channel in the guide bushing based on Applicants claim language, which calls for "a length" of the channel of the guide bushing having a uniform diameter. Doberne teaches a guide bushing having two sections of which each section is of a uniform diameter. Therefore, "a length", which could be either section of the guide bushing, is disclosed as having a uniform diameter.